NATIONALISM TODAY

The Radical Voice of British Nationalism

30p

In This Issue . . .

- Exclusive Interview with NF Chairman Andrew Brons
- Directorate Announces 5-Year Plan
- Organising for the Collapse
- Consett Steel Closure
- Stateside Today
- Trade Union News
- Dublin Government and the Provos
- Bankers Ruin Countryside
- Government Protection of Provisional IRA —EXPOSED!

SIX MILLION JAM JARS IN COMMUNITY RELATIONS GOLLYCAUST!

—See Page 17

NATIONALISM TODAY *The Radical Voice of British Nationalism* 30p

Editorial Staff: Joe Pearce
Nick Griffin
Nick Wakeling

Nationalism Today exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British nationalist opinion. It is privately published and independent of all political parties and groups, although editorially it supports the National Front.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editors or the policies of any political organisation *Nationalism Today* may support editorially.

The appearance of any advertisement in *Nationalism Today* is not necessarily indicative that the Editors have any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

The Editors are pleased to receive from readers manuscripts of articles for possible publication which should normally be not longer than 1,250 words and typed in double-spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Nationalism Today* copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editors reserve the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alteration to be made.

Those wishing to re-print articles from *Nationalism Today* must first gain the permission of the Editors and undertake to include with the re-printed matter the author's name and the name and address of *Nationalism Today.*

EDITORIAL

Issue No. 3

WORKERS FIGHT BACK

WE ARE currently experiencing the highest levels of unemployment since the 1930s. Even the Government admits that unemployment will rise in coming months, and some people are predicting that we could have over 3 million people unemployed by 1982.

It's easy to see what the reasons are for this catastrophe. High interest rates; the export of investment capital; the banking system and the Common Market have all contributed to the record number of British firms going bankrupt and the resulting ever-lengthening dole queues.

Above all, because Britain is tied to the Common Market and other internationalist 'free trade' agreements, cheap foreign manufactured goods produced by sweated and slave labour in Far Eastern and Communist countries have flooded in.

Our fishing industry is being destroyed by cut price fish imports. However, British fishermen set a sterling example to fellow British workers recently when they blockaded ports in order to stop the unloading of fish by foreign vessels.

As the Government refuses to act, workers will have to take it upon themselves to stop the foreign goods invasion in order to save their livelihoods.

Dockers and porters must refuse to unload all foreign goods which could and should be made in Britain by British workers. By picketing and other industrial action, workers have the power to save British industry and their jobs. The alternative is that the former 'workshop of the world' becomes an industrial wasteland.

ULSTER: The Next Tory Sell-OUT?

WITHIN MONTHS of coming to power, Margaret Thatcher's Tory Government sold out the Whites in Rhodesia. Tory Foreign Minister, Lord Carrington, acted swiftly in handing over that bastion of White civilisation to Black Marxist tyrants.

Now, however, the Tories have switched their attention to Northern Ireland. Ulster is the target for the second Tory sell-out.

Already Margaret Thatcher has met Provo-gunrunner Charles Haughey and she is seriously considering his demands. Haughey, who in 1970 gave £41,000 in aid to the IRA, still supports their aim of a "united Ireland".

Members of the Irish Republic's Government are confident that Thatcher and her Tory Government will commit themselves to this "united Ireland" concept. If so, then the Conservatives will be betraying the majority of people in Ulster who wish to remain British. And they will be betraying the thousands of Ulstermen who have fought and died for Britain in two World Wars.

This latest shameful example of Tory policy shows how far modern liberal Governments can sink into the depths of double dealing and broken promises.

If the Conservatives reward the loyalty of the people of Northern Ireland with a knife in the back, they can be sure of a Loyalist backlash. Thatcher can rest assured that the betrayal of the British people will not be forgotten. Treachery must be brought to justice . . . and justice will be done.

IS BRITISH STEEL BEING SABOTAGED?

THE BRITISH STEEL CORPORATION's closure of the Consett steelworks is social and economic madness.

It is social madness because the resulting 3,500 redundancies will give Consett the highest unemployment rate in Europe and will effectively destroy the whole community.

It is economic madness because the Consett steelworks is one of the most efficient and commercially viable plants in the whole of Europe. An independent audit has shown that Consett was one of the few profitable plants within the BSC last year. It was also by far the most productive plant in Britain, more productive in fact than its German rivals. (See page 4.)

So why is the British Steel Corporation so determined to close Consett?

Could it be that the management of the BSC are so incompetent that they are closing down the few economically viable plants in Britain, making the whole industry even less productive than it is already? Or is it part of a deliberate plan to sabotage the whole British steel industry, leaving the way open for a German steel monopoly of the inter-dependent economic bloc which is the aim of the EEC? Either way, the closure of Consett will lead to the further decline of the British steel industry, making Britain more and more dependent on foreign steel.

HOME AFFAIRS

IMMIGRANTS AND IMMIGRATION

BLACK LEADERS ATTACK POLICE

RACE RELATIONS took another battering last month when leaders of Britain's Black immigrant organisations called for a ban on all co-operation with the police.

The Black leaders called on all immigrants to hit back at police "harrassment" and racism by operating a boycott. They urged Black people to refuse to take part in identity parades, to reject requests to join police liaison committees, and to stop all Black recruitment into the police force.

Not surprisingly, leading members of the police force were quick to grovel to the Blacks. Mr. Alan Goodson, Chief Constable of Leicestershire and president of the Association of Chief Police Officers, said: "The police have recently spent much time and effort trying to help harmonise relationships between all members of the community." Mr. Goodson then went on to say that he was very upset that the Blacks had "thrown all that effort back into the face of the police."

Relations between Blacks and police took another knock a few weeks after the boycott call when more than a thousand Pakistani demonstrators laid siege to a police station in East Ham, London. They hurled milk bottles and sticks at the station in a protest about the murder of an Asian youth by a gang of Whites earlier. Police made twenty-seven arrests in an effort to restore order, but it was clear that relations between police and Asians in the area had reached an all time low.

BRITAIN TOO CLEAN FOR ASIANS

SCIENTISTS at the Wellcome Research Unit, Tamil Nadu, India have shown that emigrating to Britain can damage Asians' health because our country is cleaner than theirs, according to a report published in the *New Scientist* magazine (21 Feb., 1980, p. 566).

It has long been known by scientists that Asians in Britain suffer from sometimes dangerous degrees of Vitamin D deficiency which causes rickets, due to our lack of the sunshine they need to make it. (Being lighter skinned we can make Vitamin D in our cloudy climate.) What the Wellcome Unit scientists have found is that, because Britain is cleaner than India, Asian immigrants are not as infested with gut bacteria as they are at home. These gut bacteria make another vitamin, B12, which we get from food like liver and pork which Asians won't eat for superstitious reasons. Without their native filth which packs their entire gut with the B12-making bacteria found only in Britons' bowels, and refusing to eat our healthy vitamin-rich food, many Asians in Britain become ill with anaemia due to B12 deficiency.

Since they don't want to eat our food, and we certainly don't want to live in their bacteria-breeding filth, and since in any case our climate gives them rickets, the only answer for the good of their health would seem to be for them all to go home.

Jews want NF banned

THE Jewish Board of Deputies has called for the activities of the National Front to be severely restricted. The Board has said that all marches "whose purpose is, or effect would be, to intimidate, insult or humiliate any ethnic group or to cause racial disharmony" must be banned.

The Board of Deputies' demands were made in a memorandum to the Home Secretary. The memorandum describes the present laws as "inadequate" and calls for them to be toughened up.

The persecution of the National Front by Zionist Jews doesn't end there though. According to the *Jewish Chronicle*, "the Jewish community in Britain has called for the activities of the National Front to be restricted by prohibiting marches."

"THEY'RE NOT BAD LADS REALLY, I BLAME IT ALL ON CULTURE SHOCK, UNEMPLOYMENT, AND BAD HOUSING."

MORE IRANIANS – FEWER JOBS

ACCORDING to official government figures, the fall of the Shah has reduced the number of Iranians coming into Britain. Whereas in 1978 over 237,000 came here, last year "only" 65,000 arrived.

Meanwhile, the Manpower Services Commission estimates that adult unemployment will rise by 24% over the coming year, while the number of unemployed school-leavers will double.

Perhaps they could be employed to make kebab skewers.

IMMIGRANT NUMBERS STILL RISING FAST

"MORE THAN HALF the babies born in six London boroughs had immigrant mothers and the figures reached nearly 50 per cent in another six."

– Official GLC Statistics

"Britain's coloured birth rate is booming. More than a third of babies in some areas are born to mothers from Pakistan or the New Commonwealth – places like India and Bangladesh."

– Office of Population, Censuses and Surveys

"IMMIGRANT mothers are having a baby boom. While women born in Britain have been having fewer offspring, births are rising among those coming into the country."

– Official figures published in the *Daily Express*

"BIRTHS to British-born Blacks are now likely to be increasing quite rapidly."

– Office of Population, Censuses and Surveys

"MORE THAN 32,000 people from Bangladesh, Pakistan and India are on the waiting list for admission to Britain. 14,338 from Bangladesh were awaiting clearance for entry applications, 10,846 from Pakistan and 7,024 from India."

– Mr. Richard Luce, Under-Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs

"BRITAIN's Black population could almost double by the year 1991."

– Office of Population and Surveys

'PERSECUTE PATRIOTS,' SAYS MP

TOTTENHAM MP Norman Atkinson has demanded the establishment of "special courts" (similar to those in Moscow?) to "deal with racist offenders". Atkinson said these kangaroo courts, "with Black and White lawyers" were necessary as "the Race Relations Act is not working." In other words, the Race Act isn't throwing British patriots into jail fast enough for his liking. When we come to power, we won't need special courts to deal with race traitors like Atkinson, just good lengths of hempen rope . . .

INDUSTRY, UNEMPLOYMENT AND THE ECONOMY

CONSETT STEEL CLOSURE 'ECONOMIC NONSENSE'

AN INDEPENDENT audit into the British Steel Corporation's Consett steelworks has found that the Corporation's closure plans make "no economic or commercial sense whatsoever."

The investigation was carried out by a team of researchers led by Mr. John Carney at Durham University.

Mr. Carney said that the BSC's decision to close the works would eliminate one of the lowest cost and most productive steelworks in Britain.

The key findings of the audit show that Consett, which is due to close in September, became one of the few profitable plants within the BSC in the past year. In fact BSC's own statistics show that Consett has among the lowest liquid steel production costs in the whole of the Corporation. The actual productivity at Consett last year was 240 tonnes a man year of liquid steel. The BSC average was 140, compared with the German average of 238 tonnes.

OUTSTANDING REPUTATION

Furthermore, Consett has established an outstanding reputation with customers for high quality, reliability and flexibility.

In spite of this, the Steel Corporation is profoundly pessimistic about the future, claiming that the market for steel is collapsing. However, the audit from Durham University comprehensively refutes this pessimism. According to the audit, "the steel industry of the future needs to be flexible enough and skilled enough to make higher value quality steels. Consett excels precisely in producing for that particular expanding segment of the market."

POTENTIAL

Mr. Carney says that the aim should be to ensure that Consett's potential is fully realised. "For this to happen BSC will not only have to alter its closure decision. A specific development plan will have to be drawn up to identify and exploit the growing opportunities in the market. Our audit provides a starting point for the planning that is required and which a competently run, commercially-minded organisation would have already devised and begun to implement."

Not surprisingly the 3,500 workers, who face being made redundant because of the closure of Consett, were outraged when they learned of these new figures. At a meeting of the whole workforce held the day after the results of the audit were published a resolution was passed unanimously.

The resolution declares: "That we reject the British Steel Corporation plan to close the works, and that we fight with all our resources to secure a profitable long-term future for the works and thereby save the whole community."

See Editorial.

The remains of a Welsh steelworks after closure. The same fate awaits Consett.

Cutlers Knifed by Imports

THE world-famous knife industry of Sheffield is threatened with ruin as a result of foreign imports. The Federation of British Cutlery Manufacturers have warned that their 60 member firms will be driven out of business in a few years, throwing tens of thousands of British workers on the dole, if present trends continue.

Not only is the British craftsman being undercut by cheap sweated Oriental labour, as in so many other industries, but the cheap foreign rubbish flooding our market is, thanks to a legal loophole, being sold as "Made in England"! At present, articles may be stamped "Made in England" even if made abroad provided that the articles undergo "substantial" change after import into the UK. But "substantial" has never been defined, and unscrupulous Orientals are making knives and forks in Taiwan, giving them a quick dip in a tin-plating bath when they arrive in this country and stamping them "Made in England" (in fact, often they are stamped "Made in England" in Taiwan!). John Price, Chairman of the Cutlery Manufacturers Federation, demanded that this deceitful practice be banned and that import controls, as demanded by the National Front, be imposed without delay if the industry was to survive.

Not only the knifemakers of Sheffield support the NF's import control policy. A Gallup Poll conducted in mid-July showed that three out of five British people think that "one of the main causes of Britain's economic problems is the increase of imports of foreign goods into Britain" and one in two thought that import controls would be the answer. Many also blamed the Common Market for our economic problems. The National Front is the only party pledged to introduce strict import controls and get Britain out of the Common Market.

NATIONALIST LITERATURE

A wide range of Nationalist literature is for sale, including back issues of *Britain First* and *Anglian News*, plus many collector's items. Send a s.a.e. for the current list to: Nick Wakeling, c/o Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk.

"BUYING BRITISH" NOT ENOUGH

WORKERS at a big Lancashire glass-making factory, faced with 220 redundancies in their work-force because of cheap Continental imports, were astonished to discover they had been drinking from French glasses in their social club. "We were shocked," said a union spokesman.

The 1200 workers, at the United Glass Ravenhead plant at St. Helens, have launched a "Buy British Glass" campaign. While such a campaign is a step in the right direction, it can only have a limited effect. The only action which really will safeguard British jobs and create new ones, is a complete ban on all imported manufactured goods which could be made in this country.

COMING SOON . . .

"We are NF", a photographic study of the National Front over the last four years. All photographs by Ian Newport with an introduction by Richard Verrall.

NORTHERN IRELAND

BIRDS OF A FEATHER

LINKS between the Provisional IRA and the two leading Mafia families, the Colombos and the Patriarcas, have been exposed by US federal crimebusting agencies.

A series of spectacular arms finds have revealed that weapons, including M-60 machine guns and M-16 Armalite rifles, stolen from US Army and National Guard bases by these Mafia arms dealers have been being purchased by the Provos with the proceeds of bank raids. Three rocket launchers and a million rounds of ammunition stolen from Camp Lejeune, a US Marine Corps base in North Carolina, were amongst arms destined for use against Britain intercepted by the FBI and other similar agencies.

The IRA is understood to have paid over one million dollars at a time for consignments of Mafia weapons. Such immense sums are nothing to the Provos thanks to the ease with which they can rob banks, mail trains etc. in the ludicrously slack and, one suspects, not unsympathetic security atmosphere prevailing in the "Irish Republic".

Such a link between the Mafia, whose other activities include drug and child prostitution rackets, and the IRA, who so far have preferred murdering children to pimping for them, comes as no surprise. In dealing with a pack of cowardly, murdering gangsters the Provos have at last found their own level. And one wonders if Tory Home Secretary Whitelaw, who was quite happy to sip tea, munch biscuits and cosily chat with the Provos in 1972, would feel equally at home with their friends, the gang bosses of Chicago and Los Vegas.

'Peace Person' in Airport Row

BETTY WILLIAMS, the ex-prostitute, Ulster "Peace Person", and winner of the Nobel Peace Prize was fined £25 at Uxbridge Magistrates Court for disorderly behaviour at Heathrow Airport on May 1. She admitted swearing, banging her fists on a desk, using obscene language and trying to push past a police officer.

Mrs. Williams founded the so-called "Peace People Movement" in 1976 in conjunction with one Moiread Corrigan, also from a Republican area of Belfast. The Movement propounded the startling idea that everything would be nice in Ulster if everyone was nice, but as there were a lot of IRA men around who certainly weren't nice it never really got off the ground, despite a lot of encouragement from the British Government. Whilst it did not bring peace to Ulster, which most Ulster folk realise can only come through victory over the IRA and Irish Republicanism, the Peace Movement did bring lots of all-expenses-paid jaunts around the World for Mrs. Williams and her friends. Indeed, Mrs. Williams was returning from one such tour of the US and Canada when she became involved in the Heathrow fracas.

COMMON MARKET

Europe Plunders Britain's Fish

ALTHOUGH British waters comprise 60% of the EEC total and contain 70% of the commercial fish stocks, we are generously to be allocated only 31% of the permitted annual catch, according to the bureaucrats of the Common Market Commission in their recent catch allocation proposals.

But foreigners are not simply being allowed to systematically plunder our fish stocks. They are also grossly overfishing, threatening to wipe out everyone's stocks. The French are particularly greedy, not shrinking from using illegal small-mesh nets which catch young fish before they can breed and replenish stocks.

And, as we revealed in our last issue, the fish stolen from our grounds is being dumped at cheap cut-rate prices on the British market, putting our fishermen out of business and threatening the survival of many small fishing communities. The only answer is to get out of the EEC and get the foreigners out of our fishing grounds.

DEARER FOOD IN E.E.C.

DESPITE Tory promises at the time of the EEC Referendum that Market membership would not mean dearer food, economists at the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food recently revealed that fiddles such as the "green pound" added 70p a week to the average family's food bill in 1979 alone.

EUROCRATS' POLL REVEALS: "MOST BRITONS WANT OUT"

MORE THAN HALF the people in Britain want to quit the Common Market according to a poll published by the European Commission in Brussels.

In common with the people of Denmark and Ireland, an increasing number of Britons are dissatisfied with what they get out of the Market compared with what they pay in. Fewer than 25 per cent think membership is a good thing.

It is not surprising that so many people in Common Market countries are growing discontented, especially when the EEC continues to spend taxpayers' money on subsidising the third world.

The latest example of EEC spending is the proposed 15.8 million dollars worth of food and cash which is being given to Zimbabwe.

Imports Now Immigrants Later

WHILST France carries on its 10-month ban on British lamb in defiance of the Eurocrats, Britain has had her wrists slapped by Brussels for banning imports of cheap new potatoes from Greece. The cheap spuds had caused market prices to collapse from £200 to £100 per ton in less than a week, threatening our farmers with ruin. The Tories are expected to do as they are told and lift the ban.

Greece is expected to flood Britain with a lot more than cheap potatoes when she joins the EEC on January 1st next year. Under Common Market "free movement of labour" rules, vast numbers of semi-literate Greeks are expected to swarm in, putting still more of our people out of work and adding still more to the *"exciting diversity"* of our *"multi-racial and multi-cultural"* society.

Labour Ulster Minister caught thieving

JAMES DUNN, Labour MP for Liverpool Kirkdale and former Ulster Under Secretary, was caught stealing a 60p map from a Westminster shop and £14.53 worth of clothes from the Army and Navy Stores, in May.

After his conviction at the Inner London Crown Court, his fellow Establishment members Judge Suzanne Norwood told the shoplifting MP: "You were acting entirely out of character, which was attributable to your appalling state of health." He got off with a conditional discharge and £100 costs.

It is questionable whether Dunn would have received the same sentence, let alone such kind comments from the Judge, had he been a young White unemployed NF member with a skinhead haircut. What was that about "all men being equal before the Law"?

Nationalism Today welcomes enquiries from potential advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by prepayment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of each month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editors reserve the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

INDIA: How They Like Immigration

NUMEROUS Asians prominent in the "Race Relations Industry", such as Sighbat Kadri, Altab Jouhl, Narinder Saroop etc., are constantly lecturing the British people on their "duty" to accept and even welcome apparently limitless numbers of their fellow Asian immigrants. The slightest show of reluctance on the part of the natives to accept endless waves of the teeming hordes of the Indian subcontinent is vituperatively denounced as "White racism". It is, therefore, interesting to see how Asians like it when they are on the receiving end of mass immigration.

BANGLADESH IMMIGRATION

So recent developments in the north-east Indian state of Tripura are most instructive. That state has been subjected to large scale Bangladeshi immigration, similar to that afflicting the Brick Lane area of East London. But instead of welcoming the "excitingly diverse multi-ethnic society" brought by the newcomers, as we are bidden to do, or even marching, leafletting, selling papers and standing in elections demanding their repatriation, as the National Front is bitterly castigated as "beyond the pale of humanity" for doing, the native Indians simply rose up and had a good try at slaughtering the lot. Rampaging native mobs set upon the Bangladeshi immigrants, burned them out of their huts, and beheaded every immigrant – man, woman and child – they could get their hands on. In one week of violence in mid-June, over 1,000 of the immigrants were killed and over 200,000 driven from their homes. Heaps of dead and dying immigrants blocked rivers, and the Indian authorities were at first powerless to restore order. Since then, the anti-immigrant violence has flared up again. In one recent incident, Bengali immigrants were thrown out of an Indian village, leaving seven dead and over 50 injured before Indian troops could restore order.

So Messrs. Kadri, Jouhl, Saroop etc. should count themselves lucky we are more civilised than their own people. Otherwise the gutters of Southall and Bradford would have run red with Immigrant blood long ago . . .

THE OCTOPUS AND THE BEAR

ON Tuesday, July 22nd, a brief glimmer of truth managed to slip through the smoke-screen of lies and misinformation about the real World situation maintained by the controlled media. It took the form of a TV documentary, *Vodka-Cola,* written and presented by Canadian economist and trades unionist Charles Levinson.

Sweeping aside the Establishment "Capitalist vs. Communist" myth of World affairs, Mr. Levinson showed how the big multi-national corporations, some of which have resources greater than Britain's whole economy, are using Russian and East European labour – which is kept cheap because workers in the so-called "socialist countries" are denied the most basic trade union rights such as the right to strike – to produce goods such as shoes, textiles and motor tyres at very low prices. These are flooding into Britain and other Western countries, causing massive unemployment. The multi-nationals operate under such a variety of trade regulations that they can play these to their advantage, and they also keep a substantial stock of bought politicians to look after their interests.

Of course, despite its shrieks of dismay that our athletes wanted to attend the Moscow Olympics, the Thatcher regime has done nothing to interfere with the multi-nationals' trade with the Soviet Union, on which the Reds depend not just for a few propaganda points but the economic survival of their slave state. Evidently the "Iron Lady's" outrage at the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan does not extend as far as her purse. One can well understand, then, the bitter remarks of Mr. Dick Palmer, General Secretary of the British Olympic Association: "It is about time the Government had a re-think about the export of wheat and butter to the Soviet Union, and a re-think about their trade links, rather than ask the athletes to make the protest."

If you missed Mr. Levinson's programme on TV, or you want to know more about the links between multi-national super-capitalists and the "socialist countries", Mr. Levinson's fine book, *Vodka-Cola*, is available from Nationalist Books, PO Box 163, 73 Great Eastern Street, London EC2A 3AU.

Communist Farming a Flop

PROOF of the disastrous effects of Communism was provided recently in Hungary, where a study of its farming programme showed that the Communist system simply does not work.

It was discovered that privately owned and farmed plots were at least twice as productive as farms owned by the Communist State. Jakab Eborhardt who participated in the study said that all of the co-operative farm workers were paid the same and "everyone figured that a co-op meant an easy life – let someone else do the work. Nobody wanted to work."

This is the same experience that occurred in the Ukraine, once the "bread basket of Europe", but now unable to feed even Russia. Consequently the Communists depend on millions of bushels of wheat and soya beans from the Capitalist West.

The Communist system is based on unworkable dogma. How many more must die before it is finally rejected and destroyed?

ISRAELI GOVERNMENT IN BOMB OUTRAGES

TWO Arab mayors in the Israeli-occupied West Bank were the victims of bomb attacks, carried out by the Israeli Government. One of the mayors had to have both of his legs amputated following the explosion. Yasser Arafat, leader of the Palestinian Liberation Organisation, said that Menachim Begin was "personally responsible for the assassination attempts."

Arafat, speaking in Beirut hours after the bomb outrages, said: "The attacks are part of the systematic campaign of terror Israel has been conducting against our people in the occupied territories."

THE COST OF INDEPENDENCE

PRINCE CHARLES found out how much "independent" Zimbabwe was going to cost us when he went to Salisbury recently.

He chatted and joked with President Canaan Banana and asked Finance chief Emos Nkala "If there was any money in the till."

"No," the Minister replied. "Lord Soames will provide it."

POLAND

WORKERS FIGHT FOR THE RIGHT TO STRIKE

The Red approach to industrial relations

HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS of Polish workers are withdrawing their labour, thereby risking jail or death by firing squad, in the fight for basic rights. Worker delegates from over 100 striking factories and shipyards along the Baltic coast, supported by miners, railwaymen and other advanced sections of the Polish working class, met in the Gdansk shipyards to demand the right to form free trade unions, the right to negotiate wages and conditions through free collective bargaining, and the right to strike.

Other grievances included the soaring price of food, a ruthless Government pay policy holding down all wages whilst prices go on rising, and persistent secret police spying on and harrassment of labour movement activists. The workers' representatives demanded a substantial pay rise and the restoration of basic trades union rights abolished by the reactionary Communist dictatorship in 1947.

The strikes have occurred in an atmosphere of gathering crisis in the creaking Polish economy. The inefficiencies and contradictions inherent in any capitalist economy, in which economic power is concentrated in the hands of a few bosses rather than in the hands of the workers, are particularly acute in Poland. Inflation is rampant, productivity is abysmally low (why should workers put themselves out when they enjoy none of the wealth they produce?) and the country is up to its neck in debt to the international bankers who prop up the faltering economies of the Soviet Empire.

As we go to press, the outcome of the Polish workers' heroic action remains undecided. The workers are determined: their leader, electrician Lech Walesa said, "The people are strong. They won't drop the strike. I have six children and I am risking a lot here. But we do not care." Faced with such militancy, Moscow's puppet in Warsaw, the worthless traitor Gierek, is cravenly dithering, so far arresting only a few miners' leaders. But he is hesitating to send in the only friends he has in Poland, 22,000 Soviet occupation troops, to gun down his striking fellow-countrymen – the standard Communist "conciliation procedure" in labour disputes – lest the Soviets sack him as they sacked his predecessor Gomulka after he had to call them in to put down striking shipbuilders in the Baltic ports in 1970, slaughtering over 200 workers.

But even if Red troops and tanks defeat them in this, the latest round in Polish trade unionists' long fight for their rights in the super-capitalist slave labour economy of the Soviet Empire, the heroic struggle for freedom of the brave and fiercely anti-Communist Polish people will go on.

THE REAL "CLASS ENEMIES"

Until now, the Poles, like all the serfs of the Soviet Empire, have groaned under the lash of a bureaucratic and brutal Asiatic despotism; a Thatcherite Tory dream world in which, instead of 7% of the population controlling 84% of the economy as in Britain, ten or fifteen Party bosses control 100% of the economy. Strikes are illegal, trade unions are totally subservient to the State bosses, and the workers are kept firmly under the thumb of the management, not by any half-hearted fiddling around with Industrial Relations Acts and Court injunctions but by a dose of good old-fashioned cold steel and hot lead. Even Sir Keith Joseph, surely, would hesitate before ordering Army tanks to machine gun pickets and drive over their wives and families, as the Soviets did to end the Novocherkassk train strike in 1962.

It's hardly surprising that the slave-masters of the Kremlin need a thousand miles of barbed-wire and concrete, forty-thousand tripwire operated shrapnel grenades, a million mines and an army of guards trained to shoot to kill on sight to keep their slaves in history's biggest ever forced-labour camp, covering a third of the World's land area. But perhaps it is just a little surprising that even today you can still find British trade unionists prepared to defend the Soviet Empire and even to describe the World's most ultra-reactionary tyranny as a "workers' state". And maybe in view of their fellow-Communists' record of oppression of trade unionists and their loudly proclaimed intention to turn Britain into another such Oriental despotism with a few Party bosses enjoying powers of life and death over everyone else, it is just a bit hypocritical of them to denounce radical nationalists as "enemies of the working class" for wanting to put control and enjoyment of the profits of our workplaces into the hands of the workers in them. Remember, Communists have murdered more workers than anyone else.

Nigerian Police Murder Fifty

THE World's Press and TV, who hasten to give maximum publicity to every harsh word spoken to a Black in South Africa, are a little more reticent about the far more common and worse brutalities meted out to Blacks by their fellow Negroes in the rest of Africa.

For example, last March about 50 Black petty criminals, having been ill-treated in a Lagos, Nigeria, Police Station, were deliberately locked in a police van for 24 hours without food or water. The result was that they all died a slow and lingering death of heat and suffocation, helped along by hunger and thirst. Although this gruesome atrocity was revealed by an official enquiry headed by a Nigerian judge, its coverage in the global media was limited to the *Nigerian Herald* and the *Daily Times of Nigeria.*

N.F. PUBLIC ORDER EVIDENCE

Now available in the form of a booklet. Essential reading for all Nationalists, it shows how the Establishment is trying to clamp down on the National Front. **Price: £1.80** from Nationalist Books, PO Box 163, 73 Great Eastern Street, London EC2A 3AU.

DIRECTORATE ANNOUNCES 5-YEAR PLAN

IT IS TRUE that the National Front has no hope of gaining power under conditions that are stable – economically, socially and politically – we should not be preoccupied with making ourselves more "respectable" under present conditions. We must appreciate that the 'image' that we have been given by the media and which may well lose us some potential support **today**, will be a positive asset when the streets are beset by riots, when unemployment soars, and when inflation gets even beyond the present degree of minimal control.

For the first time in the 13 year history of our party the National Directorate set aside a day for a meeting specifically to determine the party's strategy over the next five years in the light of likely conditions. The following are some of the objectives which the Directorate considers that it is vital to achieve during the first half of this new decade:–

1) A sustained programme of membership education. If our membership is to be able to respond to the opportunities and cope with the pressures which will come with the crisis, they must be possessed of a deep commitment to our Nationalist ideology. Knowledge of a few policies is just not enough – they must have a thoroughgoing understanding of what we believe and why. In addition to this, we must establish a sustained training programme for all those who are or who wish to be local, regional and national officers of the party so that those who take responsible office are competent to perform it. To this end the Education and Training Department will, this Autumn, commence a nationwide schedule of seminars organised through regional councils.

2) We must make the public aware of the very wide range of policies which comprise the platform of the party. This is essential if we are to be seen by the public to be relevant as Britain lurches ever-deeper into crisis. Campaigns on foreign imports, unemployment, export of investment capital, foreign ownership of British firms, the Common Market, monopolies, foreign 'aid' will be essential. As a vital part of this process we must mobilise those of our members who are trade unionists into an effective NF trade unionist movement.

3) Branches must be encouraged and organised to 'sink roots' into their local communities. The production of local news-sheets will be encouraged, as will participation by individual members in all available community organisations such as tenants' associations, trades councils, environmental groups etc. So far as elections are concerned, only Branches which have gone some way to establishing local roots will be encouraged to involve themselves in widespread electoral activity.

4) The party's financial and fund-raising affairs must be put on a sound footing – which means in accordance with our Nationalist principles and not in accordance with the corrupt values of the society which we are fighting. To begin with, there must be a more logical and centrally administrated financial relationship between the party's central administration and local units. NF money must be protected and used for the benefit of the NF only and not for the benefit of financial adventurers engaged in "private enterprise" projects. Secondly, we must establish merchandising operations which can effectively exploit for the principal asset of the party: its membership.

No longer must the NF allow itself to be tossed around like a cork in a sea of events over which it has no control. It must have a sense of direction – and that means having a sequence of practical projects which are within our means to undertake successfully.

ORGANISING FOR THE COLLAPSE

JOE PEARCE

THE ANNOUNCEMENT of a Five Year Plan by the Directorate of the National Front marks a very important step on the NF's road to power. For the first time in the party's 13 year history the positive step of formulating a long term strategy has been taken and, even more importantly, this strategy represents a vital change in outlook on the party of the NF's leadership.

In the past the National Front has pursued "respectability", "acceptability" and a "moderate image" in order to gain support. Such tactics were always doomed to failure, because the assumption that a Racial Nationalist Party could ever gain any of these dubious distinctions in the eyes of the Establishment was of course completely false.

To assume that the mass media would act reasonably and fairly towards the National Front if the NF acted reasonably and fairly towards the mass media was, to put it mildly, very politically naive. Likewise, to assume that if the National Front acted peacefully on demonstrations it would not be met with violence was similarly naive. As for the assumption that the NF, if it acted democratically, would be allowed the same democratic rights as the Establishment parties, this clearly represents the height in political and tactical stupidity.

COLLAPSE IS NECESSARY

However, the most important alteration in the tactical outlook of the National Front heralded by the announcement of the Five Year Plan, is the now generally accepted concept that a "collapse" is necessary before the Party can realistically hope to come to power. **The term *"collapse"* means, in the context of the revolutionary understanding of political forces, the falling apart of the established *status quo* so that the existing structures of society can no longer maintain the standards of life expected by the population within it. Not until then, when it becomes blatantly obvious that the liberal-capitalist system can no longer realise the expectations and demands of the populace, will British Nationalism find the millions of adherents that it will require in order to gain power.**

Neither is this view of collapse being a necessary prerequisite of power a fanciful theory drawn up by the Directorate. There is ample historical evidence to substantiate this belief, so much evidence in fact that this "collapse principle" is essentially indisputable.

The situation in Russia in 1917 serves as a good example of socio-economic collapse predating the overthrow of a political establishment by a revolutionary force. Inflation, strikes, disruption of the railway system, food shortages, widespread social unrest, rapid modernisation, structural changes in industry all combined with the confusion caused by the First World War to bring about the downfall of the Czarist Government.

Likewise, the situation in Germany in the 1920s led to the eventual overthrow of the established capitalist system by a revolutionary movement. This time the victors were the National Socialist German Workers Party, a very different brand of revolutionary from the Marxists in Russia! Despite the obvious ideological differences between the NSDAP and the Communists, however, the social and economic similarities in Russia and Germany at the respective times were extensive.

Prior to the victory of National Socialism, Germany, like Czarist Russia, was plagued with rampant inflation, strikes, food shortages and widespread social unrest. Along with the widespread feeling of injustice caused by the terms of the Treaty of Versailles these socio-economic factors led to the eventual collapse of German capitalism and the subsequent victory of National Socialism.

These two examples of collapse preceding revolution are perhaps the most striking and obvious. They are not, however, by any means the only examples and others such as China and France could be cited as further proof of this principle holding true. **Furthermore, there is no real evidence to suggest that revolution is possible in modern industrial nations without there first being a collapse.**

PREPARING IN ADVANCE

If we accept this principle of collapse, as the NF now appears to have done, it follows logically that our tactical approach should be determined by how to prepare in advance for that collapse.

This the Directorate has now attempted to do by preparing the framework of a Five Year Plan. The preliminary text of this plan, which was printed in the July 1980 Members Bulletin, outlines four tactical points, all of which show an encouraging shift in the NF's attitude towards its overall strategy.

A detailed analysis of these four points would be superfluous as an adequate explanation of the reasoning behind each point is given in the preceding article. Suffice it to say that any plan which calls for "campaigns

on foreign imports, unemployment, export of investment capital" and other related economic evils is to be encouraged and supported by all Revolutionary Nationalists.

INEVITABLE

However, if the National Front has now started to organise for the collapse, the question of if and when this collapse is likely to occur must be asked.

The answer to such a question in the opinion of the writer, is that the collapse of the liberal-capitalist system is inevitable. All the symptoms of the social sickness which liberal capitalism creates are already apparent. Rising unemployment, spiralling inflation, homelessness, high taxation and interest rates, lawlessness, rocketing national debt and multiracialism are all products of capitalism and they will, in the long run, also be the destroyers of capitalism. Whether the collapse will be sudden or whether it will be long drawn out will depend upon the ability of international Capitalism to prolong the process of its own decline. Either way, capitalism carries the seeds of its own destruction; and when at last capitalism dies, its twin offspring, communism and liberalism, will die with it. It is then that the ideology of Racial Nationalism will come of age.

STATESIDE TODAY

"Dem Bones . ."

HAVING looked in our last issue at the delights of multi-racial education in "the Land of the Free", we turn this time to multi-racial medicine. Specifically to the learned and erudite paper published in a recent edition of the US medical journal *Urban Health* by the Regional Director of Public Health in the Los Angeles County Health Department, one Wilbert C. Jordan, MD. This Negro physician's contribution to the advancement of medical science was entitled *"The Roots and Practice of Voodoo Medicine in America"*. Dr. Jordan appraised his colleagues of such valuable adjuncts to the healing art as "how to read bones", the difference between "Georgia mojo" and "Louisiana conja", and how to cure inflammation of the breasts by drinking a solution of sheep manure in hot water ("one cupful every night at bedtime"). The dusky medic went on to inform the American medical fraternity that "Zombies still exist" – as is evident anyway from a cursory glance at the current occupant of the White House – and concluded that voodoo was "of benefit" to Western medicine and provides "health care with a high patient compliance ratio" – i.e. de patients does what dey's told by de witch-doctor – "and sometimes lower mortality rate than the traditional medical system" (after all, any patients kicking the bucket can always be made into zombies!).

THE MILK OF HUMAN KINDNESS

Another significant contribution to medical science was recently announced by a somewhat lighter hued US physician, Dr. Leo Wollman, of Brooklyn. In a major breakthrough in the fight against "sexism", Dr. Wollman has enabled a 40-year-old man to breast-feed his baby daughter. After a course of hormone treatment at New York's Maimonides Hospital, the patient – who as a transvestite sharing his wife's lingerie was, admittedly, already part-way there – grew breasts and began secreting milk. Dr. Wollman reports that he tasted the man's milk and it seemed nutritious and safe to him, so now the happy couple take turn and turn about nursing their offspring. Such equality!

OOPS!

Although America's politicians, like those over here, normally fall over themselves to avoid the slightest taint of "racism", occasional glimpses of reality have a way of slipping out from time to time. In answer to a United Press International questionnaire as to whether state taxes should be increased to provide more money for the mainly non-White beneficiaries of "Welfare" social security payments, Connecticut state legislature Democratic Representative Russell J. Reynolds unguardedly replied: "No! No! No income tax. No more tax. Limit spending. Put the niggers back to work."

The reaction to this embarrassing slip on the part of Rep. Reynolds was a predictable "Shock! Horror! Gasp!" outcry. His colleagues in the Connecticut state legislature passed a motion of censure against him declaring that his outburst of common sense was "offensive to public order and decency and to be abhorred by all citizens of the State of Connecticut."

Russell Reynolds

Alas, like all Establishment politicians who occasionally make such pro-White remarks, Russell Reynolds lacked the courage of his convictions. Instead of standing up for his Race and defending his remarks, he grovelled abjectly to his legislature colleagues (many of whom no doubt secretly agreed with what he had said) and, presumably hoping to salvage a "respectable" political career, whined "I admit my guilt and ask for your understanding and compassion."

"HERE COME DE JUDGE . . ."

The trial of three Blacks on charges of the armed robbery of three White Georgetown University students held recently in the Washington, DC, area shows some of the ways in which multi-racialism can enrich our legal procedures. During the trial, one Black jurywoman kept dozing off, and snored through much of the evidence, but this is a common feature of the US capital's Negro-dominated juries and the judge took no notice of it.

The jury then retired to consider its verdict. Shortly, thuds, crashes, loud squeals and other sounds scarcely indicative of quiet deliberation and careful weighing of the evidence were heard emanating from the jury room. A deputy US Marshal and a Court clerk went to investigate, and found the foreman of the jury and the dozing juror, both Black women, going at each other tooth and nail. The two were separated and given a ticking off, then sent back to resume considering their verdict. Alas, they had not been in the jury room long when more sounds of discord were to be heard. This time one of the Black women was biting the other and had to be forcibly pulled off her by two men. This was too much even for a multi-racialist, "affirmative action" judge, and he declared a mistrial.

With the increasing proportion of Blacks on juries in many British Courts, one wonders how long it will be before such scenes are to be seen over here, and before policemen have to be assigned to prevent riots in the jury room.

SCIENTIFIC BLACKOUT

In October of last year a judge in California banned the use of IQ tests because they placed a disproportionate number of Black children in classes for the mentally retarded. The case had been in the courts for eight years.

The decision exposes the failure of egalitarians to face scientific facts. If the facts do not fit liberal assumptions they are simply suppressed.

HOLIDAY ACCOMMODATION

**The Tregurna Cliff Hotel,
Lamorna Cove, Penzance, Cornwall**

Spend a relaxing holiday at a
Cornish Country House Hotel

Bed & Breakfast £10 per person.

Bargain Weekend in Spring and Autumn
2 nights with dinner, bed & breakfast
£22

LESS 15% FOR NF MEMBERS

INTERVIEW WITH ANDREW BRONS

Q. How do you see your role as Chairman?
A. The Chairman of our Party is not an officer in whom all of the power of the Party is concentrated and who has the right to override the decisions of his colleagues; nor, on th other hand, does he hold nothing more than a passive pivotal position, merely responding to decisions taken by others. It is essential that the Chairman of our Party leads, but he must do so by establishing currents of thought, a direction for the Party, premises upon which decisions of the Party will be taken. However, he must not think that he has a monopoly over that role; nor must he think that he can disregard or overrule decisions taken by the Directorate or the Party at its Annual General Meeting.

Q. Since you became Chairman, the National Front has been placing a greater emphasis on economic and social issues. Why is this?
A. Unlike the Marxists, we do not see changing economic relations producing changes in political *ideas.* However, there is no doubt that economic events do produce changes in *allegiances* and *activities.* The economic depression into which Britain is inexorably sinking will lead people from a variety of economic backgrounds to reconsider their traditional allegiances and it is essential that we do everything to point out the connection between the economic plight of the Nation and our economic solutions.

Q. In view of the present economic climate of steadily rising unemployment and rampant, uncontrolled inflation, do you think that the NF will be taking a more radical line in opposing the present liberal-capitalist system?
A. We have always pointed out that we are opposed to *laissez-faire* policies and that we do *not* believe that the system automatically produces full employment and a stable currency, in the absence of intervention. Such intervention is particularly, though by no means exclusively, necessary in the financial sector. However, I believe that we must recognise that the capitalist system *as it is structured at present* is not only *economically* undesirable under conditions of economic malaise; it is *politically* undesirable, even under normal conditions. A *nationalist* state, unlike a *liberal* state, must ensure that its sovereignty is not undermined by powerful sectional interests. **To that end, we must not only consider carefully our attitude to the multi-national companies; we must also consider whether the existence of monopolistic nationally-based but privately-owned industrial and commercial concerns, is consistent with a state that is truly sovereign. Nor should we think that the answer to that problem might be a massive extention of nationalisation. That would either involve politicians concerning themselves with the day-by-day administration of industry or the emergence of powerful bureaucrats who might themselves constitute a powerful sectional interest. Furthermore, in neither case would there be a profit motive.** If we are to preserve the sovereignty of the Nation and ensure that *everybody* benefits from the profit motive, we might consider whether, in those areas in which economies of scale are not apparent or where diversity is considered to be valuable (the strictly *retail* part of the retail trade might fall into the first category and the brewing industry into the second), we might reduce, by state intervention, the size of the unit of production. In those areas of the economy in which economies of scale are more readily apparent (particularly in those industries with high fixed costs and in the wholesale part of the now largely vertically-integrated retail trade), we might provide a tax system that provides incentives for those employees who wish to buy shares in the companies in which they work and that provides disincentives for those who buy or retain shares in companies in which they have no interest, either as present or retired *bona fide* employees of that company. The same system might be provided for some (though obviously not all) of the existing public corporations. The difficulty of reconciling commercial interests with social needs could be solved by an extension of the system of specific grants provided by the state for socially desirable but commercially non-viable services, provided in the Transport Act of 1968. Whether such a system could best be described as an attack on the vices of capitalism or an extension of its virtues, is a purely semantic question that can safely be left until later.

Q. You have been placing a great deal of emphasis on the need for ideological development. Do you feel that stressing economic and social issues complements this?
A. I have said that we have to *articulate* rather than *develop* our ideology in that its principal tenets are known intuitively by the Movement. However, there is no doubt that there are areas, particularly in the economic and social fields, where we have not yet developed our main principles. I feel that our economic and social principles do not so much complement our ideology; they are an essential part of it.

Q. Another problem facing the British economy is the chronically poor state of industrial relations. Do you believe that the added incentive provided by profit and power sharing schemes would help to alleviate this problem?
A. I fear that mere profit sharing schemes and provisions for the nominal representation of employees on the boards of companies will not go far enough to avoid industrial conflict. It is essential that employees gain a sense of shared proprietorship in the companies for which they work by substantial share ownership in those companies. Nominal representation and 'bonus' schemes that are divorced from proprietorship will never be taken seriously by employees and there

Andrew Brons

would always be a danger of such 'representation' being dominated by highly motivated Marxists.

Q. Apart from profit and power sharing schemes, do you believe that there are other racial nationalist policies which would help to improve industrial relations?
A. Bad industrial relations may well be partly caused by politically motivated trade unionists and the multiplicity of trade unions in each industry. However, there is no doubt that inflation, which is the cause and not the result of high pay settlements, is the biggest single factor in bad industrial relations. Our reform of the monetary system will undoubtedly remove the root cause of inflation, and therefore of industrial strife. Unfortunately, the wide fluctuations in the *real* incomes of virtually all employees, resulting from high inflation and periodic and high pay settlements, has resulted in the *expectations* of employees sticking at the peaks of their real earnings. It is probable that a prolonged period of economic depression, which will certainly precede the emergence of a Nationalist government, together with a rise in real prosperity resulting from an end to usury, will solve that problem.

Q. Do you think that Britain will see a racial/economic collapse in the foreseeable future? If so, how do you feel our Party can best prepare itself to meet such an eventuality?
A. There is no doubt that the economic system contains contradictions that will lead to its collapse, *sooner or later.* Those contradictions cannot be resolved without a massive revolution in the system, which the Establishment would never contemplate, because its own power would be destroyed in the process. As *spectators* of the multi-racial society, we can say that there are already signs of its imminent collapse. As reluctant participants in that society, we can say with even greater certainty, that we are determined that it will! The power of the Establishment, in both areas, is restricted to determining whether it will be sooner or

later; and to changing the record of what is actually happening, through its control of the media. **It must be our job to hasten the demise of the system, through our activities and by telling the public the truth through our own media. We must also ensure that the Party is organised to cope with the highly unstable conditions of the future.** Our members must be well-versed in economic issues and they must be able to explain those issues to potentially hostile audiences on the street corner, in the dole queue and on the factory floor. They must build up a record of concrete practical help to white people in multi-racial areas, so that in times of racial trouble, they come to us as their obvious protectors.

Q. Finally, taking into account likely political, racial and socio-economic developments, how do you envisage the future progress of our Party?

A. The unstable conditions of the future will not only provide us with unprecedented opportunities, they will also face us with unprecedented opposition on the streets and elsewhere. Furthermore, when we are seen to be succeeding in taking advantage of those opportunities, the Establishment will undoubtedly attempt to take action against us that has so far been unnecessary. Our Party is dedicated to the destruction of everything that the old parties and their backers hold dear; they will not let us do so without a struggle. It must be our job to convince the *functionaries* of the Establishment that obedience to their political masters in carrying out novel and previously unlawful actions against us, might prove to be less *convenient*, than continued obedience. If there are members who feel that they cannot take the pressure, they had better get out now, while the going is relatively good. However, the pressure that we have undergone so far, has weeded out the weak and faltering amongst our membership. We are now left with a new breed of member who knows that we *can* win and is determined that we *shall*!

Industrial Front

NF Worker Victimised

LABOUR PARTY bullies comprising the hierarchy of the TGWU have forced a young worker, 18 year old Tony Neal (*above*) to resign his membership of the National Front.

Tony, who works as a ganger for a Kings Lynn timber firm, faced an ultimatum from TGWU officials: either resign from the Front or face expulsion from the union. His expulsion from the union would have cost him his job because of a 'closed shop' agreement.

Questions about Tony's National Front membership arose when he took steps to opt out of paying a political levy to the Labour Party, which was included in his union dues. "It is only a small amount, but that is a matter of principle" said Tony.

It is clear that the Labour Party is operating nothing more than a sordid political protection racket. Workers are faced with a choice: either pay up — or face victimisation.

Despite having the backing of his workmates on this issue, Tony still had to go through with the mere formality of resigning from the NF in order to keep his job.

But Tony told local papers: **"I shall still support the National Front. If there is a march, I shall go on the march. There's my vote as well."**

This incident proves that individuals on their own without any backing don't stand much chance against the Marxist bullies. What we need is a powerful White Workers' movement. Unity is strength; and only if we are organised can we hope to defeat the Reds, and ultimately take control of the trade union movement ourselves.

● District secretary of the Kings Lynn TGWU is Mr. Roger Ward. Ward has a long history of involvement in extreme left wing politics.

Apart from being the secretary of Kings Lynn Anti-Nazi League, Ward allows posters advertising the Marxist 'Militant Tendency' to adorn his Union's office windows.

Being a Marxist he makes a bad trade unionist. He was accused of 'scabbing' by some union members during last year's lorry drivers' strike due to his indiscriminate issuing of dispensation permits.

"Government to Blame"

ENGINEERING Union shop steward, Mr. Les Simpson, summed up the attitude of an increasing number of Trade Unionists when he attacked both parties equally for rising unemployment:

"I blame Mrs. Thatcher and the last Labour Government. They left the door wide open for all these cheap imports. Neither Government does anything to safeguard our jobs."

ACCOMMODATION WANTED

Young Edinburgh NF activist seeks accommodation in London for September, preferably in the East end.

Will consider almost anything. Please reply to Tony Mitchell, 2/4 Broomhouse Street North, Broomhouse, Sighthill, Edinburgh.

Thatcher Backs 56% Pay Rise

Thatcher: Keeping the Bankers happy

MARGARET THATCHER is quick to condemn any Trade Union which dares to ask for as little as a 15% pay rise. Yet only last month she wholeheartedly supported a massive 56% pay rise, but it's not for any civil engineers, car mechanics or textiles workers. No, this enormous rise is for Gordon Richardson, the Governor of the Bank of England.

The Governor's pay rise of £358 a week hoists his salary up in one leap from £33,362 to £51,980. Furthermore, Mrs. Thatcher even had the audacity to suggest that this wasn't enough! But then we've always known that the Tories' first priority is to keep the bankers happy, haven't we?

NATIONALIST D.J.

Having a party, wedding etc.? Is your Branch holding a social? ***Need a DJ?*** If so, make sure that you choose a Nationalist DJ. Write to the Front Roadshow, NT Box 76, Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk. Political and non-political socials accepted.

Dublin Government and the Provos: New Revelations

SINCE WE EXPOSED the unsavoury role of the Irish Prime Minister Charles Haughey in bankrolling and arming the Provisional IRA at its inception in 1969-70 in the first issue of *Nationalism Today,* further revelations about this sordid affair have burst upon the Dublin political scene, sparking off a major row. It is now becoming clear that not only was Haughey himself deeply implicated in IRA gunrunning, as he denied at his "trial", but the funding of, and gunrunning to the IRA was, in effect, carried out by the Irish Government as a whole with the assistance of the Irish Army. Numerous supposedly "respectable" and "moderate" figures in the Catholic community in Ulster were also involved up to their necks in Dublin's murderous schemes.

Although leading Ulster Loyalists such as the Rev. Dr. Ian Paisley have long maintained that the Provos were founded and continue to operate with the active connivance of the rebel regime in Dublin, their assertions have been contemptuously dismissed by the British Establishment as "bigotry" and "paranoia". Now, however, they are being proved right. The new evidence comes, not from any Loyalist source but from the widely respected Irish monthly journal *Magill,* and is supported by new disclosures from former Irish Ministers who were at the heart of events at the start of the Ulster "Troubles".

Magill's Editor, Mr. Vincent Browne, obtained startling new information from the diary and deathbed confessions of one Peter Berry, who at the time of the gunrunning plot was Secretary of the Irish Department of Justice and the central cog in the security network of the "Irish Republic". Berry's revelations received important support from the then Irish Defence Minister, James Gibbons who, having recently been sacked from the Cabinet by Haughey, got his own back by effectively calling his former boss a liar and blowing the gaff on a crucial conversation between himself and Haughey about arming the IRA in 1970 in a radio interview on Sunday, July 27th.

"PRINCIPLE CULPRITS"

Further corroboration of the facts revealed by Mr. Browne came from another Cabinet Minister at the time of the arms plot, Kevin Boland. At a Press Conference on July 29th, Boland named the "principal culprits" as then Irish PM Lynch and then Defence Minister Gibbons, and added that the entire Dublin Cabinet was kept fully briefed on Government moves to smuggle guns to the IRA in Ulster. Boland added that Haughey, far from leading the plot, played a "comparatively minor" role.

Irish Army intelligence officer at the time, and one of Dublin's key liaison men with the IRA's "Northern Command" throughout the plot, Captain James Kelly confirmed the allegations of official Irish Cabinet involvement made by journalist Browne and ex-Irish Cabinet Ministers Gibbons and Boland.

So it is now clear that not only is the present Irish Prime Minister, Haughey, lying when he denies meeting Belfast IRA men, arranging to buy guns for them and to use his Cabinet post to run them into Ulster, but former Irish Prime Minister Jack Lynch is lying when he denies having known about and gone along with the gunrunning plot from the first.



But such lying and cover-ups are nothing new in Irish politics or British politics for that matter. What is new is that the Irish journalist Browne has revealed the full depth of Irish Government involvement in getting the ten year Provo murder campaign off the ground, and his revelations, supported by two key Irish Government Ministers at the time, are clearly to be taken very seriously indeed.

Browne reveals that IRA men from Londonderry were trained to murder British citizens by the Irish Army at a camp in County Donegal in September 1969. He reveals, even more disturbingly, a plot to supply guns to Republican terrorists in British Ulster involving not just Haughey and Irish PM Lynch but the Irish Defence Ministry, the Chief of Staff of the Irish Army, and an unsavoury nest of traitors in Belfast who have always posed as "moderates" opposed to the IRA. These included then Stormont MP Paddy Kennedy, Gerry Fitt, then a Stormont MP and now MP for West Belfast at Westminster, the "moderate" Social Democratic and Labour Party, and other leading Catholic figures, who formed a committee to channel funds for terrorism and to receive and distribute guns and ammunition supplied by the Irish Republic. Browne describes this as "a committee of eminent respectability. It would cause untold embarrassment amongst the Belfast Catholic middle-classes today if those names were now released, especially as to a man . . . they were in favour of getting arms as quickly and as plentifully as possible." Gerry Fitt, whom the British Government constantly build up as a "moderate" with whom the loyal British people of Ulster should share power, emphasised in a meeting in his house in September 1969 that it was "of paramount importance to get in arms immediately." "Moderate" Gerry's little meeting was attended by several IRA "officers" as well as the Irish Army officer (illegally on British soil) to whom his appeal for guns with which to slaughter Britons was addressed.

COMMUNIST MADE

Apart from the planned importation of 500 Communist made automatic pistols revealed in April 1970, it is now revealed that the Irish Government planned to supply weapons direct from its own defence stockpiles to the IRA, and one consignment of 500 Irish Army rifles and ammunition was delivered to the border by the Irish Army in February 1970.

These shattering revelations of how the Irish "Republic" planned the mass murder of our citizens, and helped kill 2000 people in its "friendly neighbour" and now "EEC partner" Britain are backed up by hard documentary evidence. This proves that the Minister of Defence of the "Irish Republic" issued a formal directive to the Irish Army Chief of Staff in February 1970 ordering him to prepare to invade British territory. A further document consists of a subsequent

official Irish Ministry of Defence directive to the Irish Army which says it all: "The Government directs that the Army (1) prepare to train the forces for incursions into Northern Ireland, (2) make weapons and ammunition available" – to the Provo murder gangs, presumably – "and (3) make gas masks available" (whether point (3) indicates that the Dublin Government was contemplating gassing British citizens in contravention not only of the dictates of common humanity – which Irish Republicans have always ignored – but of the Geneva Convention which Ireland signed, we can only wonder).

And it now emerges that the Provo/Irish Cabinet gunrunning plot wasn't "stopped by PM Lynch as soon as he found out" – the Dublin cover story. Indeed, Lynch knew of the plan months before, and when told of it again by security supremo Berry on October 17, 1969, refused to do anything about it. According to Cabinet Minister Boland, Lynch was a key man in the plot, so perhaps this is hardly surprising! Berry found out about the IRA guns plan from British Intelligence, who tipped off his subordinates in the Irish Special Branch. When his Prime Minister did nothing, and the date for the arrival of the first shipment of Provo guns at Dublin airport drew near, Berry went over his head to the President of the "Republic", ex-IRA murderer Eamonn de Valera. As a result, when "ludicrous bungling" on the part of the gunrunners revealed exactly when and where the first guns and ammunition would be landed, the Irish Special Branch were able to seize it. Even at that last minute, Haughey's private secretary in the Irish Ministry of Finance was trying to sort out the mess and sneak the arms in. After that debacle, PM Lynch did nothing, even though his own Cabinet Ministers had been caught gunrunning by his own Police. Eventually another of the substantial number of decent Irishmen who want no truck with the IRA scum and realise that these animals besmirch the honour of Ireland, in this case believed to be the Head of the Irish Special Branch, revealed everything to the Leader of the Opposition Party, Fine Gael. Faced with a threat that the sordid tale would be blown to the World Press, Lynch sacked Haughey and two colleagues as "scapegoats", for what now emerges as the actions of Lynch's Government as a whole.

There is no doubt, then, after these revelations, that the Irish Government and not just Charles Haughey, armed and set up the Provisional IRA, and planned what can only be described as other acts of war against the British people. There is also no doubt that but for these sordid deeds and nasty little schemes the Provo murder campaign that has ground bloodily and barbarously on for ten years, killing two thousand Britons and maiming countless thousands more, would never have got off the ground.

If the British Government had kept its nose out of our affairs, the Communist-inspired disturbances of 1968-69 would easily have been dealt with by local security forces without need of the Army, and many English, Scottish and Welsh families would not have lost sons, brothers, husbands and fathers.

And, most importantly, there is no doubt now that the attempts by successive Westminster Governments to distinguish between "good" Republicans to share in the Government of the British Province of Ulster, and "bad" extreme Republicans to be shunned (in public, at least) as terrorists is nonsense. All of them, IRA Provos, SDLP, Dublin Government, "moderate middle-class Catholics" and the rest were in the plot to start the murder campaign together.

As Ulstermen say, "You can never trust a rebel" – however "moderate" it may suit him to appear at any one time. Their involvement in the 1969-70 formation of the Provisional IRA–the butchers of Birmingham, the Abercorn Restaurant, "Bloody Friday", the La Mon firebomb massacre and hundreds of other sickening atrocities – brands all those who want to grab Ulster and force her under the Irish yoke, Fianna Fail, SDLP, Dublin regime and Belfast politicians alike, as lying, murdering scum. Never can Britons share power with those who helped set up the child-murdering Provo gang. Peace in Ulster will only come when all supporters of the IRA, overt and covert, are ruthlessly stamped out like the subhuman vermin they are. The sooner that is realised in the "corridors of power" in Westminster the better, and the sooner the necessary action is taken the sooner the pointless slaughter in Ulster will stop. The time for talking is over, if it ever came. The time for determined military measures, involving not only our Army but the Loyal British people of Ulster, against Irish Republicanism, under whatever guise, has come.

Alien Bankers Destroying British Countryside

BRITAIN's once beautiful countryside has been ravaged in recent years. New motorways for EEC juggernauts; the closing of pubs and shops in depopulated villages, and the death of over 9 million elm trees, victims of a disease brought in by greedy timber importers who refused to take simple precautions to keep it out – all have taken their toll.

A further threat is presented by merchant banks and insurance companies. The usurious rates of interest on bank loans have already been a major factor encouraging farmers to 'modernise' their land, tearing out hedges and using ever bigger machinery and more chemicals in a frantic effort to boost short term profits to pay off their mortgages.

QUICK PROFITS

In addition, a number of City and International financial groups are now moving directly into the *"agri-business"*. An increasing number of farms are being bought by Financiers as inflation proof investments. These new absentee landlords then employ managers whose job is to extract quick profits today, at the expense of fertility and national self-sufficiency tomorrow.

One such firm is the Hill Samuels merchant bank. On just one of their recently acquired farms in East Anglia, an area which was once 23 fields, divided by miles of hedgerow, is now one giant prairie.

The effect on the environment and wildlife is catastrophic. The larger machinery needed will gradually crush and destroy the soil structure and the unprotected topsoil may blow away. Disease and insect pests will spread more quickly, so more poisonous sprays will have to be used. One of the hedges ripped up ran along a parish boundary. Full of gnarled oaks, crab apples and wildflowers, it had sheltered the ploughman from rain, reapers from the sun and attracted children and young lovers from the village for over 1,000 years.

Thus the historic landscape loved by generations of Britons can be destroyed in a week by the short-sighted greed of Finance.

Although the Establishment turns a blind eye to the exploitation of our land and people by International Capitalists, this would be intolerable to a Nationalist government. The banks "earned" the money to buy land such as this by usurping the right to issue the nation's credit. Since they robbed us in the first place, they should not complain when the British people take back what is rightfully theirs and expropriate the ill-gotten gains of these destructive parasites without compensation.

• The National Front has recently formed a conservation group called **NF British Heritage.** All members who wish to be involved with this group should write to: NFBH, PO Box 163, 73 Great Eastern Street, London EC2A 3AU.

DEMONSTRATE

"KICK THE ZIONIST BANKERS OUT OF OUR COUNTRYSIDE"

The NF British Heritage will be holding a demonstration in London this month in protest against the destruction of our countryside by Big Business. A picket of one of the Merchant Banks concerned will be held on

SATURDAY NOVEMBER 22nd

Further details will be circulated to London Organisers shortly.
A "Merchant Banker" and a "tree" will be in attendance!

PART ONE INFLATION

SPOTLIGHT ON POLICY

Q. Prices just keep on rising and rising. The Tories say it's the Unions demanding higher wages, the Unions say that they are only trying to keep up with price rises. So what really causes inflation?

A. "Money" has no value in itself, it just stands for goods produced and services available: a pound note is only a piece of paper, what gives it its worth is the amount of concrete goods it will buy (e.g. razor blades, potatoes, and services such as a train journey or the time of a teacher). A "price" is simply the amount of this money which is needed to buy the product or service in question.

Two things can cause a price rise. One is if the goods in question are in short supply. But this only affects the price of those particular goods and, by encouraging producers to make or grow more, soon brings the price down again.

Q. Yes, I can see that, that's just the law of supply and demand. Those prices might rise and fall, but it won't cause overall inflation. So what is the other thing which *really* causes inflation?

A. This is more complicated. Basically it's an imbalance in the relationship between the amount of money in circulation (cash, cheques and credit) and the amount of goods and services available. This is easily explained with a bar-graph:



This shows the situation we have today. Too much money is chasing too few goods and services. Inflation is the result of this imbalance. If the amount of money in circulation was directly related to the amount of real goods available, inflation would not happen.



If this had happened, the more we produced, the more we would be able to buy at the same prices. If we produced enough, no one would be poor and the nation would be able to use as much as it could produce, until everybody had the material goods necessary to a decent standard of life.

Q. So what's gone wrong?

A. Well, in the 1920's and 1930's, mass production and new machinery increased production and the amount of goods and services produced. Instead of increasing the amount of money in circulation, the government kept the money supply tied to the "Gold Standard", i.e. the amount of gold in the Bank of England. This could not be increased as the same was happening over the whole world and not enough new gold was being mined to keep pace with the growth of industrial production.

So what happened was this:



There wasn't enough money around to buy all the goods and services being produced. So prices fell, manufacturers lost confidence and reduced wages. This meant that the workers could afford fewer goods, so that even more of what was produced rotted unsold in warehouses. Factories closed and machinery stood idle while still more working men went hungry on the dole. In the resulting vicious circle, everything got worse.

Then, in Britain, Maynard Keynes suggested a way out. He realised that the problem was that there wasn't enough money in circulation, so he said that the government should abandon the Gold Standard and simply put more money into circulation by increasing public spending. This enabled the manufacturers to start expanding and re-employing their workers, who could then buy more goods, which further stimulated economic growth.

The problem was that, in keeping with orthodox economic thinking which, because backed by the vested interests of the banks has survived till today, this money was **borrowed** from banks, and therefore brought with it a large and growing debt of compound interest.



GOVERNMENT SPENDING MADE UP OF:

a) *Government expenditure on Hospitals, Housing etc.*
b) *Government repayment of interest*

Thus this government money was lent, not spent, into circulation. The result was that as the government poured money into the hands of the bankers, the money supply, i.e. government expenditure, outstripped the increase in production. This problem has got worse and worse until today, we have this:



So, as we saw before, we get inflation.

Q. But don't the Tories realise this? After all, they're always talking about "cutting the money supply by cutting government spending."

A. Yes, but the problem is that they are cutting the wrong things. The money supply, as they often say, has got out of control, but the part that has got out of control is **not** government spending on hospitals, schools and our old folk. The biggest drain on government spending comes not from these constructive projects, but from the waste involved in paying usurious interest rates to satisfy the greed of International Bankers. In their "cuts", what the Tories are doing is this:



Q. Well, what would the National Front do instead?

A. This:



Cut the profits of alien Bankers, not the welfare of our children and old folk.

Under an NF government, the money (cash and credit) which the national economy needs, will be created by the sovereign government of Britain and not, as at present, by cosmopolitan Financiers. This money could then be used to finance public works, defence expenditure, and be made available as interest free loans to expanding private businesses. This "new" source of government revenue would also allow a massive cut in taxes, which would further encourage smaller independent businesses to thrive and create new jobs.

Q. Well, on paper, it sounds great, but surely it wouldn't work in practice?

A. It already has. The present system of deficit financing was introduced in Britain in 1694, when the privately owned Bank of England was set up. That was the end of honest financing on mainland Britain, though in the 1820's, Guernsey adopted a system like the one we suggest and was thus able to build a number of municipal works –such as roads, the Town Hall and sewerage stations – without incurring the massive and costly debts which local authorities have to pay today.

On a larger scale, Abraham Lincoln tried a successful experiment with the printing of interest free "Greenback" dollar notes. In Canada, the provincial government of Alberta operated this system between 1935 and 1971. The great success of this venture led British Columbia to follow suit in 1952, again with impressive results. The idea was also much discussed in Europe between the Wars.

Q. If it can really work, why are we lumbered with the present system which leaves millions unemployed or unable to buy the goods which they produce?

A. We have to put up with the same old failed set-up because certain people make a massive profit out of it. Not, as you pointed out, the unemployed or pensioners, nor even shopkeepers, factory workers or professional people. No, the profits of today's corrupt system go rather to International Financiers. These people, either by direct ownership or advertising pressure, control the mass media of the Western world, and use this position to silence any voice calling for a sane system which would give to ordinary people the benefit of their labour.

Q. So these Bankers are able to stop the press and TV discussing policies which might threaten their position and economic power?

A. Exactly. And if a group which aims to destroy their corrupt economic system starts to gain influence, their men in parliament and the media attack and smear the newcomers, using any method they can to keep them quiet. That's the real reason why the Establishment is so hostile to the National Front. We want the benefits of our industry and civilisation to be spread amongst our own people. They, like all parasites, want to keep this wealth to themselves. For that reason they will never tolerate us, and we will never become "respectable" in their eyes. Similarly, we can never compromise with them. The real wealth created by the British people, i.e. the total goods produced and services available in the economy, must be used for the benefit of the British people. That is the fundamental principle of economic nationalism.

** This article is intended as an introduction to the subject of inflation, and consequently, some minor details have been left our for the sake of clarity.*

Broad outlines of Nationalist attitudes towards other problems will be discussed in future articles in this series. Subjects will include unemployment, immigration and communism.

EXCLUSIVE!

NATIONALISM TODAY EXPOSES GOVERNMENT PROTECTION OF PROVISIONAL I.R.A.

NATIONALISM TODAY *reprints a report which has been brought to our attention by a friend of a friend in London. For obvious reasons the identity of the "friend" is being kept secret because the facts outlined on this page are particularly embarrassing to the British Government. Furthermore, if we were to disclose the identity of the "friend" in question, he would certainly lose his job and he might also face charges under the Official Secrets Act. Nevertheless, we think that the facts below are so outrageous that they should be brought to light.*

Dear Sir,

This is an account of a lecture given to senior police officers by a Detective Sergeant from the Scotland Yard Anti-Terrorist Squad (C13). I am disclosing these facts to you because I am sick of the attitude of the British Government towards the terrorists of the IRA and I think that everyone should know how they are obstructing the forces of Law and Order in their attempts to stamp out terrorism.

The lecture regarded the subject of terrorism in general and Provisional IRA terrorism in particular. There was also a lot said about the roles played by the SB, C13 and Box 500 (Internal) and Box 850 (External) in counter-terrorism.

There was a review of Arab terrorism in London, including exclusive C7 (Police Photos) of the SAS storming the Iranian Embassy. The emphasis was then switched to PIRA terrorism, particularly the Christmas bombing campaign which led to the arrests of Brendon and Peter Storey. The lecturer said that Peter Storey was credited with one murder for every year of his life, he is believed to be 26. It was further disclosed that both of them, like other members of PIRA, were trained by the KGB in counter intelligence techniques.

The lecturer then went on to explain the details of a planned jailbreak attempt by Brendon and Storey from Brixton prison. Brendon drew a scaled map of the prison and he suggested a route in and out of the exercise yard by a hijacked helicopter. The pilot of the helicopter was to be murdered as soon as the escape was completed. The escape was due to take place two days before Christmas last year. Messages were smuggled in and out of the prison by a woman, giving details of the escape plan to other IRA members. I think the lecturer said that the woman was subsequently jailed. From the prison, the helicopter was to fly to Finsbury Park where a convoy of cars was to "whisk them away".

The lecturer then disclosed that, due to the combined intelligence of Box 500 and 850, C13, the SB and the RUC, these plans were discovered. As a result, a detailed plan was laid down to hit PIRA at Finsbury Park by the SAS and Scotland Yard's own D11, along with the SB and C13. If this plan had gone ahead as intended, it is almost certain that most of the IRA's key men in London would have been wiped out in one swoop.

However, politicians intervened and stopped the planned ambush because they were scared of IRA revenge attacks in Britain. This complete surrender to the violence of the IRA meant that the police were forced into an early round-up. They arrested a small number of those involved but most of those who would have been at Finsbury Park were never caught and they are still believed to be in London.

The lecturer then stated that he had "no doubts whatsoever" that the British Left were involved with PIRA. He mentioned Val Green, who lives in Bethnal Green, who was arrested and questioned about the planned escape bid from Brixton prison. She refused to be finger-printed and photographed during questioning and eventually the police were forced to release her. She was described by the speaker as being a "communist" and a member of the "Troops Out Movement".

The speaker concluded by blaming "lack of guts" on the part of the Government for the failure of the police to stop the IRA.

I hope this report will help you to understand that the police could destroy the IRA. It is only the cowardice of the Government that is preventing us from doing so.

Big Business Destroys British Jobs

WE ARE currently experiencing the highest levels of unemployment since the last war. Where, then, are all our jobs disappearing to? The advertisement (*reprinted right*) from the *Financial Times* might provide a clue to the answer.

The advert refers to something called 'free trade zones' in Sri Lanka. This promises firms a special offer of: '600,000 low cost, high productivity workers.'

Every penny made out of these workers is free of tax. But the best incentive of all to invest in Sri Lanka is the labour rate.

The advert reads: 'Sri Lanka has the most competitive labour rates in Asia. The average *monthly* wage in manufacturing industries is only 35 US dollars.'

So it can be seen that since the lifting of exchange controls by the Tory Government it has become very attractive for Big Business to invest overseas for the simple reason that cheap labour means bigger profits.

Capitalism is now international in scope and operation. It has no national loyalties; its sole motivating force is profit . . . and in that pursuit of profit the livelihoods of British workers are considered expendable.

Such a situation cannot, of course, be tolerated in a future Nationalist Britain. **Capital accumulated in the UK will not be allowed to be invested in cheap labour industries overseas; and cheap foreign imports — which threaten the jobs of British workers — will be barred from our home market by the introduction of import controls.**

T SHIRTS

(GREEN & BLACK) (RED & BLACK)

Sizes S.M.L. £2.70 EACH Inc. P&P.

Cheques payable to G Mumford

P.O. Box 116 Harrow Middlesex

Rite Up

NODDY IN AUSCHWITZ!



NOTORIOUS Nazi Noddy, shown here forcing millions of helpless gollywogs into gas ovens which are cleverly disguised as toy-cupboards!

Last year an 'Education Institute working party on sexism and racism' condemned Noddy books for "distorting and demeaning the Black race". For example, a tale about three naughty gollywogs being locked in a toy cupboard, was seen as encouraging children to accept racial segregation!

The latest target of the humourless anti-White lunatics of the National Committee on Racism in Children's Books, is the gollywog which has been used for fifty years on Robertsons' jam jars. According to their spokesperson, Ms. Dorothy Kuya (a free jar of jam to anyone who can tell us her racial origin) the golly "is an outdated, nauseous and repulsive symbol . . . at a time when we are trying to come to grips with the reality of a multi-ethnic society."

A spokesman for the Commission for Racial Equality said: "Gollywogs are not illegal. We object to them on jam jars and in books on educational grounds. Gollies are always made to look naughty."

But when asked about the Golly on the hot-air balloon which was flying over North Yorkshire, the spokesman said that nothing could be done:

"It wouldn't be worth taking action over a hot-air balloon because it would be very difficult to prove it caused racial hatred."

DRIVING REDS UP THE WALL

EAST GERMANY has complained bitterly that West Berlin is refusing to keep a madman in hospital.

The man keeps climbing over the Berlin Wall – from West to East.

A SLIGHT MISUNDERSTANDING

A DISPUTE over Islamic doctrine has split Britain's Muslim community and brought fears for the life of Dr. Zaki Badawi, the director of the Islamic Cultural Centre, which runs the Regents Park Mosque in London. Accusations that he is ignorant of the Koran and has given key jobs to personal friends, are being investigated by the trustees of the Centre.

One London Muslim was reported as saying that "IF THE BOARD DOES NOT ACT QUICKLY THE MUSLIM COMMUNITY WILL STONE HIM TO DEATH."

Our Race Relations correspondent, Gurdip Rastus Maostein, comments: Surely this report is a mistranslation? These gentle and law-abiding people wouldn't dream of doing anything as nasty as that in this day and age, although recent racist attacks by the National Front may push them too far.

IT'S A DOG'S LIFE

ELDERLY South African White lady Mrs. Rose Purse died recently in a Johannesburg hospital from head injuries received two years earlier. The injuries were received when, whilst returning from work, she was hit by a dog, hurled alive from the top of a tower block by its owner, an "unidentified Black man".

HEIGHT RELATIONS ACT

WE HAVE racism and sexism. The emergence of "Grey Power" in America – where old people petition for their rights – has given rise to the concept of ageism. Strictly speaking classism should be next on the list, where it is illegal to discriminate on grounds of class and the entire Parliamentary Labour Party is clapped into jail for inciting class hatred (sounds like a good thing, this classism). But heightism, not classism, will be the next step in Labour-Conservative's plans for stifling traditional British freedoms and rights of expression.

As soon as the Height Relations Act comes into force, everyone will officially be the same height. (The National Front and a few cranks will claim that people do in fact have different heights, but the Establishment media will carefully ignore these heretical findings.) It will be illegal for descriptions of wanted criminals to contain details of heights, as this may be found likely to stir up height hatred; whether or not it is likely is irrelevant – what will really matter is that the Attorney-General will want to exert pressure on the judiciary to have "heightist" groups disgraced before general elections.

"Heightist" organisations such as the Police will have to alter their requirements for new recruits to conform with the Act. And, to cap it all, Home Secretary William Anti-Whitelaw will tell the nation that his three-year-old grand-daughter is unable to distinguish between "a giant and a dwarf".

In case some rebellious subjects should feel like agitating against this piece of legislation, a body will be set up called the Commission for Height Equality, whose chairperson will be David Crookedlane and whose job it will be to imprison people making offensive heightist remarks. In addition the Commission will knowingly publish misleading pseudo-scientific reports proving that we all have the same height.

Nor will the Church be excluded from playing its part; a festival called "One World – One Height" will take place in Canterbury Cathedral.

If all this sounds like a tall story, just substitute the word "race" for "height" in the above.

DIARY OF A REVOLUTIONARY

THIS morning had a large yellow spot on the end of my nose. Annoyed because spots aren't street credible and I wanted to look "hard" for the demo this afternoon. So I squeezed it, but instead of bursting, it just bled. But as I watched a large red drop dribble down my chin, I was filled with a sudden sense of pride – at last I had given BLOOD FOR THE REVOLUTION!

The demo was an "Ongoing and Spontaneous Outburst of Proletarian Solidarity with the Oppressed Bengalis" – apparently about a thousand of the poor things live in the fascist East End. As well as lots of Brown Britons, there were some real working-class people on the demo – I think they were tailors. One of them, Solly, recommended a Bengali restaurant nearby, so afterwards we went there for a super meal, ever so ethnic.

As mummy and daddy were in Paris for the weekend, took Vanessa back home. Put on the "Ballad of Blair Peach" *and got her on the sofa, but she started being sick. The doctor said it was food poisoning. How frustrating, I'm sure it never happened to Che Guevara!*

READERS' LETTERS

All letters to Nationalism Today should be sent to The Editor, Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk.

NO EVIDENCE

Sir,

I would like to congratulate you on your excellent publication. I feel that, together with *Bulldog, NF News* and the intellectually stimulating *New Nation*, your newsy and radical journal gives us a complete coverage of the propaganda spectrum – something for everybody.

However, I would take issue with one point made in your article *"Communists – The Mercenary Defenders of Capitalism"*. Whilst agreeing with most of its points, I simply cannot accept the argument that pre-war patriotic groups were "mercenaries" in the service of Capitalism. It may well be true that some individual Capitalists did fund such organisations in the hope that they would "take over and preserve the capitalist order through authoritarian dictatorship." But the historical record shows that, once in power, they did no such thing. According to C. W. Guillebaud, economics lecturer at Cambridge University, in his book *The Economic Recovery of Germany. 1933-38*, "very many of the employing class have found that the little finger of the Labour Front is thicker than the loins of the old Trade Unions. The Trade Unions could at least be fought, but behind the Labour Front stands the all-powerful Party. To regard the Labour Front as a tool of the capitalist classes for keeping the workers in subjection would be a complete misconception of the actual situation."

There is plenty of additional evidence to support this conclusion. Arguments to the contrary, however, are founded on the Trotskyite theory of "Fascism" and are buttressed only by such specious tracts as Thyssen's *I Paid Hitler*, which even the author later admitted was a lie.

Your analysis of the role of present day International Capitalism in propping up Communism, both at home (e.g. Sabatti Sagall and the SWP) and abroad (as was amply documented in the TV programme *Vodka-Cola*) is quite correct. Apart from their mutual interest in the centralisation of economic power, both groups are dominated at all levels by the same ethnic minority.

Yours faithfully,

J. Livermore, Middlesbrough

EDITOR'S REPLY

The article in question was reprinted from another publication because we felt that its analysis of the role of present day Capitalism and Communism was valid.

INHERITED WEALTH

Sir,

I never knew that in supporting the NF I would be supporting the abolition of my right to pass to my children what is mine (*"The Other Spongers"* Issue 2). If I want my children to live off the sweat of my brow, that is my concern, not the State's.

I believe that great Britons should be honoured in their descendants . . . For instance I believe that Lord Nelson's *direct* descendants should be given hereditary titles.

I would also like to ask what is wrong with Capital? Is it not *Monopolistic* Capitalism that the argument is really against; that and Capital used not in this nation's interest?

Yours faithfully,

London S.W.

EDITOR'S REPLY

Everyone has a right to pass on to his or her children the home they live in, the land they farm, and the cherished heirlooms of the family. This we do not and will not dispute. What we do dispute is a system of inheritance which permits the accumulation of vast wealth and its concomitant economic, social and political power in the hands of those who have not shown, by their own efforts, any fitness to wield such power. The consequence of such a system is well illustrated by the rise of the Rothschilds and other plutocratic racemixing conspirators.

What is needed is a meritocracy, in which each man owes his standing to his own genetically determined abilities and his own efforts, not those of his ancestors. The descendants of great men are not necessarily great themselves, as can be seen nightly at "Annabel's", "Regine's" or other *"in-crowd"* gathering places, where the scions of the noblest houses in England cavort degenerately. Specimens such as Lord Weymouth, heir to the Marquess of Bath, who wanders decadently around in pigtails, Sir William Pigott-Brown, recently involved in a South African drugs scandal, or at least one aristocrat who recently underwent a sex-change operation scarcely indicate that great Britons are honoured in their descendants, and one wonders if the heroes of our nation's past would particularly want their relationship to their present-day descendants publicised!

Only if the leaders of each generation are required to prove their own worth by their own efforts, without free rides from their forefathers, will a true genetic elite of the genuinely more able emerge.

It is entirely right to say that our argument is against monopolistic Capitalism and Capital used not in this nation's interest. Therefore, the best way to win that argument is to break up the monopolies and put control of Capital in the hands of those most likely to use it in our nation's interest – the working people and small businessmen of Britain.

ULSTER

Sir,

You may have seen in the national press that Brian Keenan, the so-called "mastermind" behind the IRA bombing campaign in London and Birmingham in 1975, was recently jailed for a total of 18 years.

Although all true Britons would agree that Keenan got his just deserts, when his record is examined, the sentence of 18 years seems utterly ridiculous. Keenan was directly responsible for the *murder* of 9 people – including Ross McWhirter, author of the Guinness Book of Records, and cancer specialist Professor Gordon Hamilton-Fairley – and of the injury of over 100 people. In effect, Keenan was only sentenced to 2 years for each killing.

However, under our present treasonable government (which bans NF anti-IRA marches, but allows pro-Republican ones) one should not really be surprised at such lenient sentences for IRA scum. Another sub-human Republican animal, by the name of Brophy, who took part in the infamous La Mon restaurant firebombing, in which 12 people died, was sentenced to only 5 years.

Of course, if the National Front was in power, the likes of Keenan and Brophy would be swinging from a stout length of British rope!

I notice that your last issue advocated that the security forces should work with Loyalist para-military organisations in their fight against the IRA. I could not agree with you more. And let's hope that on future NF anti-Republican activities, British Racial Nationalists and Ulster Loyalists can stand, march and fight together against our common enemy – the cowardly pack of baby-killers who call themselves the IRA.

Yours for God and Ulster,

John Field, London E.9

"EVIL RUBBISH"

Sir,

Any lingering doubts about the sincerity of Thatcher's pre-Election "Britain is being swamped" anti-Immigration noises must have been dispelled by recent remarks made by Tory Minister of State for the Home Office Timothy Raison. He described talk of organised repatriation, the only alternative to Britain being swamped by fast-breeding Coloureds, as "evil rubbish" and assured the Coloured hordes that the Tories' much-vaunted new nationality legislation would not take away the "right" of any Immigrant settled in Britain to stay.

Yours faithfully,

P. Brown, Exeter, Devon

National Front News

A broadsheet published monthly in support of the National Front. Subscriptions only for 5 copies or more. 12 issues of 5 copies £8.00.
Reduced rates for bulk supplies given on request. Send 20p for sample copy now.

NF publishes evidence on Public Order

DURING the next Parliament the Government plans to change the laws by which the State regulates public political activity in Britain: the Public Order, Representation of the People and the Race Relations Acts.

The Home Office has already issued a Green Paper outlining the Government's thinking, and numerous organisations have submitted evidence to the House of Commons Home Affairs Committee.

Among the organisations to have submitted evidence was the National Front. However the Home Affairs Committee refused to publish the NF's 30,000 word submission in a separate volume in the way that it has published the submissions from such bodies as the TUC, the NCCL, the Jewish Board of Deputies and the Commission for Racial Equality.

The author of the NF's submission, Martin Webster, believes that the reason for this suppression is that the principal purpose of the law review is to enable the Government to change the law in a variety of ways so as to inhibit the NF's ability to function without cramping the style of the Established Parliamentary parties or even of far-Left 'revolutionary' groups.

The NF has now published its evidence. The booklet is a quarry of information about the NF, its history and the tactics of its Establishment, media and Leftist enemies which no activist should be without. See advertisement on page seven.

Nationalism Today drives Reds wild with fury!

NATIONALISM TODAY has driven the Reds wild with fury! Both the 'anti-fascist' (*sic*) journal *Searchlight* and the *New Worker*, paper of the Moscow-line New Communist Party, have carried articles attacking our radical British Nationalist views.

The *New Worker* article entitled *'Giving Fascism A Face Lift'* states that *Nationalism Today* presents "special dangers for the labour movement." Apart from labelling NF Chairman Andrew Brons as a 'Brownshirt' (!), the article goes on to warn that a radical nationalist campaign in the Trade Unions could attract many workers and therefore pose a serious threat to the Left.

Searchlight, in an editorial entitled *'A Warning to the Trade Union movement'*, continues in the same vein stating " . . . the Trade Union movement should be on its guard in the coming months for far from racism declining, there is every indication that as the economic situation gets worse and unemployment grows there will be ample scope for the activities of racist groups."

It is clear to see what the Reds really fear. It is up to all National Front members to unite and work together with renewed enthusiasm in order that we may seize our opportunities. It is imperative that a National Front Trade Union Group is formed, so that British Nationalist policies can be expounded on the shop floor and to millions of British Workers.

NEW NATION

A new 32 page in-depth Nationalist magazine. Available for 50 pence + 15 pence postage from PO Box 163, 73 Great Eastern Street, London EC2A 3AU.

BULLDOG

Paper of the Young National Front. Send 25p for sample copy to YNF, PO Box 163, London EC2.

Subscribe to *Nationalism Today*

If you want to be sure of obtaining a copy of *Nationalism Today* every month, why not take out a subscription?

For only £5 (or £10 if you live overseas) you will be listed as a subscriber, which will guarantee you a copy of every issue for the next twelve issues — delivered through the post.

Just fill in the form below and send it to *Nationalism Today*, Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk.

We need the money from subscriptions to be able to pay the high cost of printing, typesetting etc. So, if you become a subscriber you will not only be sure of receiving your copy, but you will also be helping us to build Britain's newest Radical Nationalist magazine.

Fill in the form below and do your bit to help the Nationalist Cause!

NAME ....

ADDRESS ....

....

I enclose my annual subscription of £ .... (£5 British Isles, £10 Overseas).

Post to: Nationalism Today, Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk.

SPREAD THE RADICAL NATIONALIST WORD BUY NATIONALISM TODAY IN BULK



Nationalism Today has really annoyed and confused the Reds! Our Radical viewpoint has destroyed their shallow and pathetic claims that we are "the tools of capitalism" and a "bosses' front".

We have proved beyond doubt in *Nationalism Today* that Communism and Capitalism go hand in hand, and they can and do happily co-exist. We have also proved that Radical Nationalist policies represent the only alternative to both.

Therefore it is up to all Nationalists to help build up *Nationalism Today*, the Radical voice of British Nationalism. This can best be done by ordering bulk supplies (see rates below).

BULK RATES

10 copies £ 2.60 +	75p post
20 copies £ 4.80 +	£1.21p post
30 copies £ 7.20 +	£1.21p post
40 copies £ 9.60 +	£1.43p post
50 copies £11.00 +	£1.43p post
60 copies £13.20 +	£1.60p post
70 copies £15.40 +	£1.60p post
80 copies £17.60 +	£1.73p post
90 copies £19.80 +	£1.73p post
100 copies £21.00 +	£1.86p post
110 copies £23.10 +	£1.86p post
120 copies £25.20 +	£1.99p post
200-499 copies: 19p each	) Postal rates
500 copies and over: 18p each	) apply for details

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Nationalism Today* and sent to *Nationalism Today*, Hill House, Huntingfield, Halesworth, Suffolk.

Read

CANDOUR

The magazine founded by A. K. Chesterton which exposes the internationalist attack on British nationhood.

Essential reading for all patriots fighting for our national survival.

Single copies 25p, annual subscription £3.

Write to: Candour Publishing Co., Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD.

KEEP BRITAIN OUT OF CAPITALIST WARS

ALTHOUGH many Nationalists disagree over the issue of nuclear power, there can be few who would deny that it is essential for this country to maintain an independent nuclear deterrent, not massive in size, but large enough to let *any* potential aggressor know that an attack on Britain will bring immediate and deadly retribution, and is simply not worth thinking about. That said, however, there is no reason to applaud the Tory cabinet's decision to allow nuclear Cruise missiles to be based in Britain from 1983.

These missiles are American owned and operated, and although Defence Secretary Francis Pym seemed pleased that the US has said that the British government would be consulted before their use, this does not alter the fact that we will have on our soil weapons, and therefore targets, which may well be used, and attacked, in pursuit of a quarrel between foreign states, which has nothing to do with Britain or the British people.

If the *real* American government does decide to scurry out of Wall Street to well prepared shelters and pick a nuclear fight with Russia it is all too likely that we will be caught up in it whatever we do. The presence of these missiles will make it inevitable.

Nor is such a war as unthinkable as it was a few years ago. The world economic system of Finance-Capitalism is in danger of collapsing through over-production and the weight of its own debts. Twice this century, the Powers That Be have found in a World War a useful and profitable temporary solution to such problems, and a Third would have the added advantage of finishing off the White European nations as competitors or potential threats to the long-planned system of global exploitation.

On the "other" side there is Russia, aggressive and expansionist as she always has been and with a ramshackle economy threatening to collapse under the strain of her enormous and unused military machine, whose dominance over the West will reach a peak in about 1985, before declining with growing problems of obsolescence. Thus Russia too could perhaps see the benefits of a controlled nuclear war.

The Western media's war-mongering can only be described as phoney when at the same time Communist China, which is still in brutal occupation of once-peaceful Tibet, is portrayed as an ally and near-paradise on earth. (One reason, of course, is that the wooing of Red China by Rockefeller Presidents Nixon and Carter has opened up a massive new market and source of profit for International Big Business.) Looking back to the press hysteria of forty-five years ago, one gets an unpleasant feeling of *deja vu*, with a controversial Olympic Games, and now even allegations that "British" visitors to Russia who contacted Russian Jews who had been refused permission to emigrate have been beaten up by gangs of trained young thugs.



Recently announced bases for American Cruise missiles in Southern England. Shaded area shows likely direction of wind blown fallout if these were attacked

WAR A POSSIBILITY

Thus a nuclear war between Russia and "America" is now a possibility and the Cruise missile bases will mean that Britain would automatically be involved. We are not, as we have said, opposed to Britain having nuclear weapons, but these, just like our peacetime industries, must be in the control of Britons and be used in the interests of the British people alone, not in a stage-managed quarrel between two nations controlled by alien Asiatics.

Nick Griffin

Threat to our children

IN THE EVENT of a nuclear attack, this picture, from the official booklet *Protect and Survive* is the best home defence advice that our pathetic government can give the British people. Compare this with the position in Switzerland, where every new building has to have a nuclear fallout shelter.

The argument that it would cost too much is not convincing, coming as it does from a government currently spending hundreds of millions of pounds to "save the lives of children in Africa and Asia". For God's sake, Thatcher, what about *our* children?



Find out about the National Front

Send 20p for information pack.

To:
P.O. BOX 163
LONDON E.C.2.
(Tel. 01-739 2187)

Name ....

Address ....

....

....

I enclose ....

Printed and Published by N.T. Press, Halesworth, Suffolk